UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,217	10/23/2003	Peter J. Ulintz	109770.0018	8227
37287 ROETZEL & A	7590 12/23/2008 NDRESS	8	EXAMINER	
1375 EAST 9T	H STREET		CULBRETH, ERIC D	
CLEVELAND,	Оп 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/692,21	7	ULINTZ, PETER	J.			
Office Act	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Eric Culbre	eth	3616				
The MAILING E Period for Reply	PATE of this communication	on appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	idress			
WHICHEVER IS LON - Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If NO period for reply is spec - Failure to reply within the se	FUTORY PERIOD FOR INTERPRICE FOR INT	NG DATE OF TH CFR 1.136(a). In no evention. period will apply and wing y statute, cause the apply	IS COMMUNICATION ont, however, may a reply be tin I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from location to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1) Responsive to a	communication(s) filed or	n 03 December 20	008					
2a) This action is FI		☐ This action is n						
´ =	/—	_		osecution as to the	e merits is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	·	•						
·	are pending in the applic	cation						
, , , 	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
		itilalawii ilolii coi	isideration.					
·	5)∭ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is/are objected to.							
	are subject to restriction	and/or election re	oquiromont					
	are subject to restriction	and/or election re	quirement.					
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification	is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) f	iled on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)	\square objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may no	t request that any objection	to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement dra	wing sheet(s) including the	correction is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some coll None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cite 2) Notice of Draftsperson's F 3) Information Disclosure St Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 atement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	48)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 6, line 1 "2-4" should be "2A-4" (there is no Figure 2). (Although applicant requested this change in the amendment filed 9/10/08 after final, the request was not proper – it should have been on a separate piece of paper, with the entire paragraph being replaced where additions are underlined and deletions are bracketed.)

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 11-12, 15-19, 21-23, 26-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fevre et al US005758545, of record.

Fevre et al discloses a telescoping assembly configured for telescoping motion between an inner jacket 3 and outer jacket 2 (abstract) and a sleeve 5 (Figures 6-7) located between the inner and outer jackets, the column as best understood comprising inner jacket 3 having a first and second end, outer jacket 2 having a first and distal end with the second end of the inner jacket located inside the outer jacket and extending through the distal end of the outer jacket. Sleeve 5 has internal and external ribs 21, 22 contacting the jackets. The internal ribs 22 are outside the outer surface of the inner jacket (claim 11). The internal and external ribs are aligned along the sleeve axis and

Art Unit: 3616

offset (claims 12, 23). Upon inspection in Figure 7, a width dimension of the internal ribs is greater than an external rib (claims 15, 28), and the thickness of an internal and external rib and sleeve wall is at least equal to a distance between the jackets (claim 16). A segment 8 of Fevre et al's sleeve extends past the outer sleeve (claim 17). The sleeve is able to flex between the facing jacket surfaces (abstract where the ribs of the sleeve are flexible)(claim 18), with the sleeve fixed to the outer jacket by block 9 and the inner jacket telescoping (claims 19 and 22). The internal ribs contact the outer surface of the inner jacket (claim 21). As the sleeve is thermoplastic that flexes (abstract, column 6, lines 65-66) and the tubes are metal (column 7, lines 8-12), the sleeve material is more flexible than the jackets (claim 26). Sleeve 5 is substantially within the outer jacket (claim 27). The ribs do not extend through the outer surface of the inner jacket (claim 30). Regarding the new limitations added to claims 15 and 21, the shape of the wall between the internal and external ribs (i.e., parallel to the jackets with a constant distance, etc.) is an obvious matter of design choice, as the specification gives no specific reason or critical purpose for the wall shape between the ribs, and the sleeve of the application would appear to function just as well as the sleeve of Fevre et al (the change in shape of the wall between the ribs would not seem to make a difference).

Page 3

4. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 13, 20, 24 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fevre et al US005758545, of record in view of Burkhard et al US005722300, of record.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3616

Fevre et al disclose a telescoping steering column assembly configured for telescoping relative movement between an outer jacket 2 and an inner jacket 3 (abstract) comprising outer jacket 2 with first and second ends and inner jacket 3 with a first end received telescopically in outer jacket 2's second end. A sleeve or sheath 5 (Figures 6-7) has a wall inside the outer jacket at the second end of the outer jacket, and the first end of the inner jacket is positioned inside the sleeve. The assembly is configured for relative telescoping movement between the outer and inner jacket the facing surfaces of the jackets contacting opposite faces of the sleeve. internal ribs 22 are entirely outside the inner jacket, and external ribs 21 contacting the outer jacket as well as the internal rib are aligned with a longitudinal axis of the sleeve. However, Fevre et al is not clear about where the end of the inner jacket is positioned relative to the sleeve. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fevre et al to include the end of the inner jacket positioned within the sleeve as taught by Burkhard et al's sleeve 56 with inner jacket end 56 positioned within inasmuch as applicant's end in Figure 1 in order to arrange the sleeve and ends using an alternative arrangement in steering columns, as such a modification would yield predictable results (claims 1, 7). Regarding the new limitations added to claim 1, the shape of the wall between the internal and external ribs (i.e., parallel to the jackets with a constant distance, etc.) is an obvious matter of design choice, as the specification gives no specific reason or critical purpose for the wall shape between the ribs, and the sleeve of the application would appear to function just

Art Unit: 3616

as well as the sleeve of Fevre et al (the change in shape of the wall between the ribs would not seem to make a difference).

Page 5

Fevre et al's ribs 21, 22 are offset in Figure 7 (claims 2, 8), but arranging biasing ribs aligned with the internal ribs would be obvious in view of Fevre et al's embodiment in Figures 1-5, where the portions of tongues 15 and 18 engaging the inner and outer jacket are radially aligned, and spheres 40 of Burkhard et al, which have radially aligned surfaces engaging the inner and outer jacket (claims 3, 13, 24). Upon inspection in Figure 7 of Fevre et al, the width and hence surface area of the internal ribs is greater than the external ribs (claims 5, 9). The combined thickness of the ribs and sleeve in Fevre et al's Figure 7 is at least equal to the distance between the outer and inner jackets (claim 6). As the ribs are flexible (abstract), and the sleeve is made of one piece with its wall approximating the thickness of the ribs, the wall of the sleeve is also flexible (claim 10). Fevre et al's sleeve 5 is fixed relative to the outer jacket by block 9, with the inner jacket telescoping within the outer jacket and sleeve (claims 19, 22). In the combination Burkhard et al teaches the inner jacket 26 fixed, the sleeve secured to the outer jacket, and the outer jacket and sleeve telescoping relative to the inner sleeve (claim 20). Forming the wall of the sleeve thicker than the ribs would be obvious in the combination as taught by the spheres 40 of Burkhard et al in the combination, which have surfaces extending toward and contacting facing walls of jackets that do not extend a distance from sleeve 44 that is equal to sleeve 44's thickness (claim 29).

5. Claims 14 and 25 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fevre et al in view of Barton et al US006389923B1, of record.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fevre et al to include a bonding agent between the sleeve and outer jacket as taught by Barton et al (column 2, lines 10-18, where an adhesive is used between the sleeve 3 and outer column member 1) in order to better retain the sleeve securely within the outer tube (column 2, lines 12-18 of Barton et al).

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fevre et al in view of Burkhard et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Barton et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fevre et al and Burkhard et al to include a bonding agent between the sleeve and outer jacket as taught by Barton et al (column 2, lines 10-18, where an adhesive is used between the sleeve 3 and outer column member 1) in order to better retain the sleeve securely within the outer tube (column 2, lines 12-18 of Barton et al). In the combination the injected adhesive would flow between the external ribs.

7. Claims 11-12, 15-19, 21-23, 26-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fevre et al US005758545, of record in view of Milton et al US3703105, of record.

Art Unit: 3616

Fevre et al discloses a telescoping assembly configured for telescoping motion between an inner jacket 3 and outer jacket 2 (abstract) and a sleeve 5 (Figures 6-7) located between the inner and outer jackets, the column as best understood comprising inner jacket 3 having a first and second end, outer jacket 2 having a first and distal end with the second end of the inner jacket located inside the outer jacket and extending through the distal end of the outer jacket. Sleeve 5 has internal and external ribs 21, 22 contacting the jackets. The internal ribs 22 are outside the outer surface of the inner jacket (claim 11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fevre et al to include walls of the sleeve between the inner and outer ribs parallel to and equally spaced from jackets in view of Milton et al's sleeve 54 in Figure 4 in order support or bear the ribs with a sleeve of alternative shape, as such a sleeve shape would yield predictable results. The internal and external ribs are aligned along the sleeve axis and offset (claims 12, 23). Upon inspection in Figure 7, a width dimension of the internal ribs is greater than an external rib (claims 15, 28), and the thickness of an internal and external rib and sleeve wall is at least equal to a distance between the jackets (claim 16). A segment 8 of Fevre et al's sleeve extends past the outer sleeve (claim 17). The sleeve is able to flex between the facing jacket surfaces (abstract where the ribs of the sleeve are flexible)(claim 18), with the sleeve fixed to the outer jacket by block 9 and the inner jacket telescoping (claims 19 and 22). The internal ribs contact the outer surface of the inner jacket (claim 21). As the sleeve is thermoplastic that flexes (abstract, column 6, lines 65-66) and the tubes are metal (column 7, lines 8-12), the sleeve material is more flexible than the jackets

Page 7

(claim 26). Sleeve 5 is substantially within the outer jacket (claim 27). The ribs do not extend through the outer surface of the inner jacket (claim 30).

8. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 13, 20, 24 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fevre et al US005758545, of record in view of Burkhard et al US005722300, of record and Milton et al, of record.

Fevre et al disclose a telescoping steering column assembly configured for telescoping relative movement between an outer jacket 2 and an inner jacket 3 (abstract) comprising outer jacket 2 with first and second ends and inner jacket 3 with a first end received telescopically in outer jacket 2's second end. A sleeve or sheath 5 (Figures 6-7) has a wall inside the outer jacket at the second end of the outer jacket, and the first end of the inner jacket is positioned inside the sleeve. The assembly is configured for relative telescoping movement between the outer and inner jacket the facing surfaces of the jackets contacting opposite faces of the sleeve. internal ribs 22 are entirely outside the inner jacket, and external ribs 21 contacting the outer jacket as well as the internal rib are aligned with a longitudinal axis of the sleeve. However, Fevre et al is not clear about where the end of the inner jacket is positioned relative to the sleeve. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fevre et al to include the end of the inner jacket positioned within the sleeve as taught by Burkhard et al's sleeve 56 with inner jacket end 56 positioned within inasmuch as applicant's end in Figure 1 in order to arrange the sleeve and ends using an alternative arrangement in steering columns, and to include a sleeve with cylindrical walls between the ribs matching the inner and outer jackets as

Art Unit: 3616

taught by Milton et al in order to use an alternative equivalent sleeve wall shape in the art, as such modifications would yield predictable results (claims 1, 7). Fevre et al's ribs 21, 22 are offset in Figure 7 (claims 2, 8), but arranging biasing ribs aligned with the internal ribs would be obvious in view of Fevre et al's embodiment in Figures 1-5, where the portions of tongues 15 and 18 engaging the inner and outer jacket are radially aligned, and spheres 40 of Burkhard et al, which have radially aligned surfaces engaging the inner and outer jacket (claims 3, 13, 24). Upon inspection in Figure 7 of Fevre et al, the width and hence surface area of the internal ribs is greater than the external ribs (claims 5, 9). The combined thickness of the ribs and sleeve in Fevre et al's Figure 7 is at least equal to the distance between the outer and inner jackets (claim 6). As the ribs are flexible (abstract), and the sleeve is made of one piece with its wall approximating the thickness of the ribs, the wall of the sleeve is also flexible (claim 10). Fevre et al's sleeve 5 is fixed relative to the outer jacket by block 9, with the inner jacket telescoping within the outer jacket and sleeve (claims 19, 22). In the combination Burkhard et al teaches the inner jacket 26 fixed, the sleeve secured to the outer jacket, and the outer jacket and sleeve telescoping relative to the inner sleeve (claim 20). Forming the wall of the sleeve thicker than the ribs would be obvious in the combination as taught by the spheres 40 of Burkhard et al in the combination, which have surfaces extending toward and contacting facing walls of jackets that do not extend a distance from sleeve 44 that is equal to sleeve 44's thickness (claim 29).

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/692,217 Page 10

Art Unit: 3616

9. Claims 14 and 25 as best understood are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fevre et al in view of Milton et al as applied to claims 11 and 21 above and further in view of Barton et al US006389923B1, of record.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fevre et al and Milton et al above to include a bonding agent between the sleeve and outer jacket as taught by Barton et al (column 2, lines 10-18, where an adhesive is used between the sleeve 3 and outer column member 1) in order to better retain the sleeve securely within the outer tube (column 2, lines 12-18 of Barton et al).

10. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fevre et al in view of Burkhard et al and Milton et al as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Barton et al.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Fevre et al, Burkhard et al and Milton et al to include a bonding agent between the sleeve and outer jacket as taught by Barton et al (column 2, lines 10-18, where an adhesive is used between the sleeve 3 and outer column member 1) in order to better retain the sleeve securely within the outer tube (column 2, lines 12-18 of Barton et al). In the combination the injected adhesive would flow between the external ribs.

Art Unit: 3616

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Culbreth whose telephone number is 571/272-6668. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571/272-6669. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric Culbreth Primary Examiner Art Unit 3616

/Eric Culbreth/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3616